11ppiroution 11on 1

REMARKS

Claims 32-34, 36, 37, 46, and 50-65 are currently pending in this application.

Pursuant to the June 27, 2007 Office Action, claim 54 was withdrawn from

consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention, and claims 32-34, 36, 37,

46, 51-53, 55-58, 63, and 64 were stated to be allowed.

Claims 47 and 49 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for

lack of written description. By way of this Reply, claims 47 and 49 have been

cancelled, without prejudice. Applicant's respectfully submit that the lack of

written description rejection should be moot.

Claims 50, 59-62, and 65 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first

paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. In

particular, the Office Action states that the phrase, "producing the identified

compound" in subsection (v) of claim 50 lacks sufficient antecedent basis. Claim 50

has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. Accordingly,

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 50, as amended, should be in condition for

allowance. Claims 59-62 and 65, which depend from claim 50, should also be in

condition for allowance for the same reasons.

Conclusion

For the above reasons provided above, it is respectfully submitted that

pending claims 32-34, 36, 37, 46, and 50-65 should be in condition for allowance and

a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

- 7 -

Applicant: Braud et al. Application No.: 09/555,555

If the Examiner does not believe that the claims are in condition for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at 215-568-6400.

> Respectfully submitted, Inventors et al.

Volpe and Koenig, P.C. United Plaza, Suite 1600 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 568-6400 Facsimile: (215) 568-6499 RWO/mds By /Ryan W. O'Donnell/ Ryan W. O'Donnell Registration No. 53,401